* **HIGH COURT OF DELHI : NEW DELHI**

Date of decision: 21st August, 2007

\+ **W.P. (C) No. 7168/2003**

KAILASH CHAND **... PETITIONER**
Through: Mr. D.R. Roy with Ms. Nasreen Hasan and Mr.Abhay Singh, Advocates.

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. **... RESPONDENTS**
Through: Mr. S.M. Arif, Advocate

CORAM:

HON"BLE MR.JUSTIC A.K.SIKRI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

1. Whether the Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to Reporter or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

A.K. SIKRI , J (Oral)

*

The Petitioner was transferred from Delhi to Hissar vide Order dated 22nd July 2002 alongwith 4 others. He challenged this transfer order by filing O.A. before the Tribunal, which was dismissed vide order dated 28.8.2002 by the Tribunal. Against this judgment, present writ petition was filed in the year 2003. In the meantime, Petitioner had joined the duties at Hissar. Learned counsel for the

Petitioner submits that now office order dated 30.04.2007 has been issued by the Department, as per which the Petitioner stands transferred to New Delhi again. In view of this development, present writ petition has, in any case, become infructuous. The only grievance of the petitioner remaining now is that though this transfer order was passed on 30th April 2007, which was in respect of 14 persons, all other 13 persons have joined their new place of posting but the petitioner is still not relieved by the Doordarshan Kendra, Hissar and therefore he has not been able to join the duties at DD News, New Delhi, where he is posted now.

Learned counsel for the Respondent fairly states that he will look into the matter and ensure that this order is complied with as early as possible.

With these observations, present writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

A.K.SIKRI, J.

VIPIN SANGHI, J.

August 21, 2007
P.K. BABBAR